

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304487907>

Sustainable agricultural practices for water quality protection

Chapter · June 2016

DOI: 10.1002/9781119054450.ch6

CITATIONS

7

READS

2,024

4 authors:



Fabio Stagnari

Università degli Studi di Teramo

65 PUBLICATIONS 1,328 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Sumira Jan

ICAR- Central Institute of temperate horticulture

81 PUBLICATIONS 1,031 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Angelica Galieni

Council for Agricultural Research and Agricultural Economy Analysis

41 PUBLICATIONS 919 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Michele Pisante

Università degli Studi di Teramo

94 PUBLICATIONS 2,561 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Special Issue "Conservation Agriculture for Ecosystem Services" [View project](#)



Book chapter [View project](#)

CHAPTER 6

Sustainable agricultural practices for water quality protection

Fabio Stagnari¹, Sumira Jan², Galieni Angelica¹, and Pisante Michele¹

¹Faculty of Bioscience and Technologies for Food, Agriculture and Environment, University of Teramo, Teramo, Italy

²ICAR-Central Institute of Temperate Horticulture, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

6.1 Introduction

The significant changes in conventional farming activities in the recent past are among the main reasons for environmental degradation, particularly from the effects on natural resources (Zalidis *et al.*, 2002; Santilocchi *et al.*, 2012; Pisante, 2013; Squire *et al.*, 2015). Erosion, salinization, compaction and reduction of organic matter represent the principal threats to soil health and indirectly to surface and groundwater quality. Water quality is indeed principally threatened by run-off, erosion and leaching.

Excessive irrigation or continuous rainfall leads to increase in infiltration rates, lowering water application rates due to enhanced water flow over inclined soil surfaces resulting in runoff (Jarvis, 2007; Gasso *et al.*, 2013). Consequently, water runoff carries the topsoil layer away with nutrients and contaminants that accumulate on the water surface, leading to eco-toxicity and eutrophication (Subbulakshmi *et al.*, 2009). One of the main reasons for runoff is soil compaction due to the decline of the water infiltration rate (Bai *et al.*, 2008); numerous research reports have shown this increment in water runoff when soils are compressed (Boulal *et al.*, 2011a,b; Silburn and Glanville, 2002; Silgram *et al.*, 2010). Traffic-induced soil compaction is identified as the practice, stimulated by sweeping of mobile farming units in which

the soil particles are spatially reorganized increasing soil bulk density (Hamza and Anderson, 2005).

Soil erosion represents rapidly spreading phenomena, especially in environments characterized by dry seasons and heavy rainfalls, which adds to the dilapidation of farming terrain (García-Orenes *et al.*, 2009; Pisante *et al.*, 2013). Soil erosion has ecological effects on aquatic environments, which are externalized from the farming system (Stoate *et al.*, 2001). Degradation of arable terrain is aggravated by increased vulnerability of soil particles that get isolated and carried through erosive means, when any soil covering (living or dead mulch) is absent (Benites *et al.*, 2005; García-Orenes *et al.*, 2009).

Leaching into groundwater consists of transport through the soil pores of nutrients and agrochemicals suspended in water from precipitation or irrigation (Subbulakshmi *et al.*, 2009). This practice results in damage to actual water quality and is a source of eutrophication (Subbulakshmi *et al.*, 2009; Smith *et al.*, 2014). Some researchers report that it could be reduced by soil compaction owing to the diminution of the soil macro pore association (Boizard *et al.*, 2013); besides, it also demonstrates that compaction decreases development of roots and their accessibility to nutrients, enhancing their losses (Gasso *et al.*, 2013) and, by limiting soil microorganism ability in decomposition, it favours the risk of agrochemical leaching (Chamen *et al.*, 2015).

6.2 Principal water contaminants in agricultural systems

6.2.1 Sediments

Sediment is generally recognized as a main pollutant that necessitates enhanced management (Bilotta *et al.*, 2010; Collins *et al.*, 2012). A significant amount of soil determines changes in turbidity, light penetration, temperature and biological oxygen demand (BOD) in water systems, which can have severe harmful consequences on biodiversity (Watts *et al.*, 2003; Covich *et al.*, 2004; Greig *et al.*, 2005; Bilotta and Brazier, 2008). Applications between 80 and 400 mgL⁻¹ are likely to directly influence the reproductive health and habitation of fish (Rickson, 2014) as well as indirectly by dropping the number of aquatic invertebrates in the food chain (Jones *et al.*, 2012; Bilotta *et al.*, 2012).

In addition, sediments also contribute to the transport and providence of numerous materials as well as nutrients, heavy metals, pesticides and added natural pollutants (Walling and Collins, 2008). The increased capacity of adsorption for adhered macro-elements in sediment was observed in soil-eroded particles like clay, slits and organic matter (Rickson, 2014). The delivery of such sediment to water is very dynamic because it depends on intricate interactions between sediment features and accessibility; erosion, transport and deposition methods (Parsons *et al.*, 2004; Govers, 2011); climatic changes (Reaney *et al.*, 2011); the source of innate and synthetic paths (Rickson, 2014); gradient extent and land utilization (Walling *et al.*, 2002); terrain supervision procedures, for example, soil management procedures (Govers, 2011) and the spatial allocation and concentration of the getting water streams (McHugh *et al.*, 2002; Watts *et al.*, 2003; Walling *et al.*, 2005; Gasso *et al.*, 2013).

6.2.2 Nutrients

6.2.2.1 Carbon

Water quality assessments provide evaluation of the following carbon fractions: total carbon (TC), inorganic carbon (IC), total organic carbon (TOC), particulate organic carbon (POC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (Udeigwe *et al.*, 2011). POC is regarded as dynamic collection that manipulates nutrients discharge in farming regions (Kirkby *et al.*, 2013). The DOC portion has revealed to have an immense effect on soil organic activity (Kindler *et al.*, 2011), providing a principal resource of microbial substrate and, moreover, impinging on the

carrying of heavy metals and natural contaminants from soil to surface waters (Udeigwe *et al.*, 2011).

The structure and quantity of carbon relinquished in runoff depend on size and constitution of the OM group, which is significantly increased by organization/farming and produces an inert and natural adjustment relevance (Udeigwe *et al.*, 2011; Aita *et al.*, 2012). On a large scale, it has revealed a stable increment in DOC application in lakes and streams (Driscoll *et al.*, 2003; Hejzlar *et al.*, 2003; Evans *et al.*, 2005; 2006).

6.2.2.2 Nitrogen

Agriculture has been pointed to as the main source of nitrate nitrogen (N) in surface water (Randall and Mulla, 2001). Nitrate N can be simply mislaid from the soil profile by leaching and, together with phosphorus, it is mainly responsible for water quality degradation. Surface runoff, leaching and favoured flow are the major procedures implicated in the allocation of N from soil to water. Inorganic fertilizers and animal manures are the main sources of N applied to agricultural soils (Randall and Mulla, 2001; Udeigwe *et al.*, 2011). The N forms, which are normally found to be present in water, comprise nitrate (NO₃⁻), nitrite (NO₂⁻), ammonia (NH₃) and organic N (Sawyer *et al.*, 2002). In newly contaminated water, ammonia and natural N are the chief N forms. Macrobiotic N is then progressively changed to NH₃-N that, in the presence of oxygen, might consequently be changed to NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ (Sawyer *et al.*, 2002).

The quantity and structure of N discharged from farming to the atmosphere is a rationale of the N supply, application rates, time and process as well as land use (Diaz *et al.*, 2009; Harmel *et al.*, 2009). Precipitation abruptly following external application of poultry manure can result in augmented runoff of whole Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN, habitually utilized to characterize the amount of organic N and NH₃ available in a water system) and NH₄⁺-N (Diaz *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, the TKN and NH₄⁺-N application and fill in runoff is amplified by elevated compost rates, while manure assimilation significantly decreases runoff application and the stack of TKN and NH₄⁺-N (Udeigwe *et al.*, 2011). The successful transfer of nitrate N to surrounding water takes place during subsurface drainage (tile lines) or base flow. Remarkably, diminutive nitrate N is mislaid from the terrain through surface runoff (Randall and Mulla, 2001). N concentration in water drainage depends on crops, N rates and application time (Qi *et al.*,

2011), that is, some studies indicated that N in water drainage in maize monocropping ranged around 14 mgNL^{-1} , while in perennial herbaceous crops (alfa alfa) was from 0.3 to 4 mgNL^{-1} .

6.2.2.3 Phosphorous

The excess of P in water causes problems associated with the accelerated eutrophication determining diminutive oxygen level, condensed aquatic species diversity, turbidity, disagreeable flavour and stench in public water stores (Greig *et al.*, 2012). The optimal organization of P for ecological and farming objectives is frequently incoherent since P losses from agricultural systems causes limited costs with respect to those from P inputs (Jørgensen *et al.*, 2013; Nordqvist *et al.*, 2014).

Soil P is originated beneath chemical and physical forms: soluble P, reactive P and stable P (Hansen *et al.*, 2002). The soluble pool is mainly reactive and plant accessible structure of soil P (H_2PO_4^- e $\text{HPO}_4^{=}$) represents an extremely diminutive fraction of the overall P in soil, less than 1% (Hassan *et al.*, 2012). The other pools are strictly linked with the soil concrete segment and arise equally in natural and non-living forms (Sharpley and Rekolainen, 1997). The organic P comes from new natural matter, which quickly decays. The non-living P is found on soil exchange sites or in relatively soluble minerals.

Phosphorus coming into the soil can be carried in runoff water either in solution or linked with eroded soil particles. Conversely to the latter, P in solution is accessible for quick biological uptake, whereas bioavailability of P associated to soil can differ extensively depending on soil form, level of P saturation, particle size, organization account and redox potential (Sharpley and Rekolainen, 1997), ranging from 10 to 90%, with typical values around 20% (Eghball *et al.*, 2000; Uusitalo *et al.*, 2001; Hansen *et al.*, 2002).

The transfer of soil P to run-off water is a process occurring within a 1–5 cm perchè intensity of soil (Kleinman *et al.*, 2011) and is restricted by physical and chemical procedures, for example desorption, suspension and dispersion. The application P in solution run-off is reliably interrelated to the capacity and reactivity of P next to the surface of the earth (King *et al.*, 2014), different to the concentration of P associated to soil in run-off due to its dependence on the absorption of eroded soil particles.

In numerous watersheds, run-off and erosion is the main pathway of soil P loss (Benskin *et al.*, 2014) with

insignificant subsurface P losses owing to elevated P fixing capacity of sub soils. Conversely, the movement of P in the course of the soil profile is imperative for those soils distinguished by an extremely little P sorption capability (minute quantity in clay Fe and Al oxides). Leaching of P is also exacerbated by heavy application of organic waste to sandy soils and acid innate soils. Sometimes, the continuing conduction of practical P matter to run-off or loss by restricted flow in leaching can be more imperative than the transfer of soil (Sharpley and Rekolainen, 1997; Greig *et al.*, 2012). The threat of steadfast conduction losses is the main a purpose of organization choice; for instance, at the instant of application, the supply of applied P and the application technique and tillage performance. Insertion or integration of applied P fertilizers or manure radically diminishes the hazard of through P loss in run-off.

6.2.3 Heavy metals

For a long time, agricultural soils have contained heavy metals, for example copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), nickel (Ni), cadmium (Cd) and chromium (Cr). They are adsorbed by soil constituents and distributed by water runoff and leaching into rivers and lakes, resulting in pollution of imperative drinking water resources (Udeigwe *et al.*, 2011). Metals' mobility and bioavailability in the soil stratum are amended through organic matter (OM), clay minerals, pH, redox potential and Fe/Al oxides (McBride *et al.*, 2005).

Besides the atmospheric deposition, which is one of the major resources of significant metals flowing in arable terrain, livestock manures and sewage sludge characterize considerable resources in the majority of arable soils (Nicholson *et al.*, 2003). Agricultural fertilizers are also a way for the contaminations of arsenic (As), Cd, fluorine (F), Pb, mercury (Hg) (Jiao *et al.*, 2012) and sulfur (S) (Jarosiewicz and Tomaszewska, 2003). Increased concentrations of heavy metals, for instance Cu, Zn and Pb, have been linked with the utilization of agrochemicals in the majority of surface water of soil in China (Li *et al.*, 2014).

6.2.4 Agrochemicals

Agrochemicals penetrate the water surface mutually from point source contamination (e.g. Wesström *et al.*, 2014) and from disperse resources subsequent to their application to crops (Stoate *et al.*, 2001). The degree of pollution of agrochemicals in to water depends on their

mobility, solubility and deprivation rate (Stoate *et al.*, 2001). On a farm scale, agrochemicals affect soil flora and fauna causing, as a consequence, both physical and chemical deterioration (Zalidis *et al.*, 2002; Stagnari, 2007). On a watershed scale, leaching, drainage and especially run-off of agrochemicals into the surface and ground water is a relevant issue, since they might be lethal to aquatic organisms and still potentially carcinogenic.

Modern agrochemicals degrade rapidly in soil but may persevere if they get into subsoil or groundwater owing to diminutive microbial activity, light deficiency and lower temperatures (Environment Agency, 2007). In dry-land crops, drainage can support the flow of agrochemicals to surface water, circumventing the soil stratum where their degradation mostly happens (Stoate *et al.*, 2001).

6.3 Best practices

6.3.1 Management of fertilization

Management of fertilization methods, executed to diminish fertilizer discharge into water, leads to the prime consequence that they are utilized at sites with an enhanced capability of damaging water quality.

Through adjusted fertilizer placement as well as best rate, timing of application, type of fertilizers and a tailored levelling, draining and contouring of farming terrains, economic and environmental benefits are obtained (Ruidisch *et al.*, 2013). Ridge tillage has the capability to diminish NO_3^- leaching, thanks to the possibility to place the fertilizer in the upper part of the ridges (Waddell and Weil, 2006). When the ridge tillage system is combined with plastic mulching, fertilizers are protected from infiltrating water and, accordingly, nutrient maintenance in the soil crest and nutrient use ability of crops are enhanced (Ruidisch *et al.*, 2013; Nguyen *et al.*, 2014). Besides, bare furrow sites are further susceptible to fertilizer leaching attributable to elevated infiltration rates originated through the surface runoff from the edge to the furrow (Leistra and Boesten, 2010).

In the case of P, application of fertilizers or manure without incorporation can favour steadfast P diffusion to exterior water, particularly when run-off follows abruptly; the amount of P loss increases with the application rate. The degree of P in run-off diminishes as the time linking application and run-off raises (Kleinman *et al.*, 2011).

Besides, incorporation can diminish the absorption of dissolved P in run-off that instantaneously follows application up to fourfold (Chien *et al.*, 2011).

Applying the required plant amount of nutrients contributes to reducing water pollution by nutrients. It is acknowledged that a nutrient surplus, for instance nitrate, is liable to leaching from unnaturally drained fields (Dinnes *et al.*, 2002; Oquist *et al.*, 2007). Nitrification and mineralization of drop-applied nitrogen enhance the nitrate N content accessible for discharge in the subsequent spring (Dinnes *et al.*, 2002). P run-off can be condensed by circumventing redundant P application rates in susceptible regions, especially during high rainfall periods.

Fertilizer type also influences the quantity of nitrate N lost from the soil (Stagnari and Pisante, 2012). Inorganic fertilizers are accessible for crop uptake and also to leaching more quickly than natural ones: mineralization of organic N arises soon after in the emerging season (Thoma *et al.*, 2005).

Cropping practices are very effective in affecting nutrient losses from soils (Stagnari and Pisante, 2010). Annual row crops have been demonstrated to enhance thrashing of nitrate N and sediment-bound phosphorus than perennial species. This is accredited to better application of N fertilizers pooled with lesser evapotranspiration rates that effect in added drainage flow (Oquist *et al.*, 2007). Cover crops and legume-based rotations increase uptake and evapotranspiration thus decreasing soil nitrate-N that is minutely accessible for leaching (Dinnes *et al.*, 2002; Strock *et al.*, 2004; Culman *et al.*, 2013). Combining plastic mulch with fertilizer transfer constraints to edges and with split fertilizer spray can result in an 82% decrease in collective nitrate leaching (Ruidisch *et al.*, 2013).

A possible approach to advancing water quality by dropping fertilizer losses is the implementation of alternative agricultural activities. Substitute agricultural techniques comprise preservation, organization procedures, species biodiversity and/or activities that involve economical contribution of artificial fertilizer (Oquist *et al.*, 2007). Conservation tillage systems, by reducing sediment erosion, also limit the associated P and N losses whilst distinguished tillage strategies run off minute crop filtrate on the soil surface (Hansen *et al.*, 2002; Stagnari *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, to diminish the leaching threat of fertilizers and agrochemicals, meticulous farming is established as an expensive contrivance (Ruidisch *et al.*, 2013).

6.3.2 Erosion mitigation measures

Alleviation procedures (described as an 'on the ground structure or management practice by the land manager'; ADAS UK Ltd/Halcrow, 2008) valuable for soil erosion can be functional to manage dispersed contamination losses: indeed, the marked association among runoff and sediment with the transport of P, N, agrochemicals, pathogens and metals has been well demonstrated (Tyrrel and Quinton, 2003; Quinton and Catt, 2007; Bilotta and Brazier, 2008; Deasy *et al.*, 2009; Kerr *et al.*, 2011; Newell Price *et al.*, 2011).

Numerous kinds of alleviation procedures are identical in methodology (e.g. agricultural-planting-practice, strip rotary tillage, direct seeding, slot-planting, reduced-tillage-farming practice and direct drilling were classified as 'minimum cultivation systems') (Rickson, 2014). Several studies, especially conducted in UK, link to the efficiency of compact farming, of the use of buffer strips – riparian, of the condensed foraging force on soil thrashing and sediment assembly (Rickson, 2014). Several research reports demonstrate the association linking natural matter and soil erodibility (Munkholm *et al.*, 2002; Blair *et al.*, 2006a,b; Kadlec *et al.*, 2012). It emerges that the measures with highest effectiveness are represented by building of channels, mulching/tillage management practices, marshland characteristics, edge-of-field buffer strips, minimal cultivation systems (62%) and in-field grass buffer strips (Rickson, 2014).

6.3.3 Sustainable cropping systems

The interaction between sustainable cropping systems and water resources is very complex. Among the different sustainable cropping systems (i.e. organic agriculture, biodynamic, permaculture, precision farming, perennial crops and integrated agriculture) conservation agriculture (CA) has the higher potential for protecting water resources both in terms of quantity and quality (Stagnari *et al.*, 2010; Pisante *et al.*, 2012; Pisante and Stagnari, 2013).

CA plans to preserve, develop and formulate extra proficient utilization of natural resources during integrated administration of obtainable natural resources pooled with peripheral contributions. It adds up to ecological preservation in addition to improved and persistent agricultural productivity (Pisante *et al.*, 2007; Pisante and Stagnari, 2007). It could be classified as resource competent or resource efficient agriculture (García-Torres *et al.*, 2003). It is based on these principles and activities: preserving stable soil surroundings and advancing

insignificant mechanical disturbance of soil during zero tillage systems; supporting vigorous, existing soil in the course of crop rotations, cover crops and the utilization of integrated pest management technologies and finally encouraging application of agrochemicals in equilibrium with crop necessities. The role of CA in reducing surface and ground water pollutants is clearly elucidated. In the US, CA has revealed to decrease runoff to about 15–89% and lowered suspended agrochemicals, nutrients and sediments contained by it (Lemke *et al.*, 2011). Cultivation influences the speed and quantity of rainfall infiltration and thus ground water revitalization, river flow rates and the requisition for irrigation (Boardman, 2013). In regions of scarce precipitation, CA assists in preserving water in the topsoil cover (Alam, 2014). Direct drilling pooled among stubble retention was shown to increase rain infiltration, leading to a reduced runoff compared to cultivated soil (Verburg *et al.*, 2012).

CA may have a depressing effect through evolution owing to the usage of herbicide for grass weed management (Ogle *et al.*, 2012) and because of escalating soil macropores that cause rapid movement of pesticides into the water course (Vryzas *et al.*, 2012). Conversely, the existence of earthworms can render elevated quantity of organic matter, which preserves agrochemicals and therefore assists in hindrance of pesticide release (Alletto *et al.*, 2012). Interesting research investigations demonstrated the diminished threat of agrochemical pollution in surface waters owing to implementation of CA in the USA. Direct drilling declined agrochemical runoff by 70–100% (Palm *et al.*, 2014) and leaching of isoproturon was lowered by 100% in a period of 3 years through CA (Jordan *et al.*, 2000).

6.3.4 Controlled traffic farming

Controlled traffic farming (CTF) is a managerial approach to reducing traffic-induced soil compaction (Raper, 2005; Gasso *et al.*, 2013). It is described as a 'crop production system in which the crop zone and the traffic-lanes are markedly and permanently alienated' (Gasso *et al.*, 2014) by utilizing in-field technology operational with steering facilities and self-routing structures (Raper, 2005; Bochtis and Vougioukas, 2008). The main positive aspect is the reduction of the trafficked region whilst contrasting with traditional procedures. The latter, during the cropping season can create a compressed surface of about 80–100% of entire fields in rigorous mechanical manipulation of soil and

30–60% in conservation tillage practices (Tullberg *et al.*, 2007; Gasso *et al.*, 2013; Shabtai *et al.*, 2014). Besides, CTF causes a trafficked portion of 10–20% of an entire field spot (Wang *et al.*, 2009; Tullberg, 2010) and it is demonstrated to reduce usage of fertilizers because of lesser nutrient disparagement (i.e. minute discharge, leaching and nutrient runoff) (Hao *et al.*, 2011) and recognition to augmentation of the root development and uptake of nutrients (Gasso *et al.*, 2013). Besides, crops underneath CTF can accomplish the equivalent yield with 20–30% lesser fertilization rates than beneath random traffic farming (RTF) (Gasso *et al.*, 2013; Chamen *et al.*, 2015). CTF can augment agrochemical investments (through 0.6–26%), once measured against RTF, attributable to a diminution of the pass to pass overlaps (Armengot *et al.*, 2015).

6.3.5 Buffer strips measures

Runoff can be effectively reduced with the use of vegetative buffer strips (VBS), preventing substances from entering the water stream and/or carrying away sediments, organic materials, nutrients and chemicals (USDA, 2000; Krutz *et al.*, 2005; Lacas *et al.*, 2005; Zanin *et al.*, 2009; Arora *et al.*, 2010). VBS are usually set up along creeks, streams, ponds or lakes to prevent

pollution of their waters (Lacas *et al.*, 2005; Milan *et al.*, 2013). Their efficacy is generally expressed as a percentage reduction in plant protection product (PPPs) concentration in water; the literature reports VBS effectiveness generally above 50% (USDA, 2000; Rankins *et al.*, 2001). In addition to the riparian buffer strips, useful tools to mitigate diffusion of water pollution are in-field and edge field buffer strips, which are efficient in managing erosion by 54, 61 and 64% (Rickson, 2014). From 49 studies accomplished globally, 147 values of sediment elimination competence were obtained (Gumiere *et al.*, 2011), with a sediment removal efficiency ranging from 24 to 100%. Research work quantifying data on buffer strip performance indicate a high variability in sediment control effectiveness (Reichenberger *et al.*, 2007). Such high variability is to be found in a high number of factors involved (Table 6.1). The primary determinant of VBS efficacy is its design (Milan *et al.*, 2013) and the minimum width needed to achieve an acceptable level of effectiveness must be determined by slope steepness and correlated to its primary function (reducing sediment transport or increase infiltration). Secondary to design are numerous other factors affecting VBS effectiveness, such as surrounding cropland characteristics as well as the

Table 6.1 Factors affecting the erosion control effectiveness of vegetated buffer strips (slightly modified from Rickson, 2014).

Property		Influence	References
Nature of the pollutants	Particle size of sediments	Field data suggest that only sand and silt particles are deposited, while the fate of clay sized particles (with the highest concentrations of chemical contaminants) is less well defined	Kronvang <i>et al.</i> , 2005; Owens <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Properties of the buffer strip	Width	Trapping efficiency increases with width	Vinten, 2006
	Vegetation species	Broad, hairy leaves trap sediments more effectively than narrow, smooth leaves	Gill <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	Vegetation habit	Uniform swards are more efficient at trapping sediment	Wood <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Deeks <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	Age of buffer strip	Build-up of sediment and P may make buffer strips sources rather than sinks of diffuse pollution	Reisinger <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Slope gradient	Upslope and within buffer strip	On slopes, most sediment trapping occurs within the first 5 m	Vinten <i>et al.</i> , 2004
Soil type	Soil erodibility	Affects the degree of runoff volume and sediment in flow	Wood <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Posthumus <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Nature of the runoff event	Duration and magnitude of event	Redetachment of trapped sediment is more likely with longer, more intense events. Antecedent moisture conditions affect buffer strip effectiveness	Kronvang <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Depth of flow relative to height of vegetation	Flow should not inundate the vegetation	Bear <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Stone <i>et al.</i> , 2013

environmental conditions (slope, microtopography, soil type, rainfall intensity, infiltration capacity, strip width and irrigation volume) (Müller *et al.*, 2004; Patakioutas and Albanis, 2004). Pesticide characteristics (solubility and persistence), as well as soil texture, organic content and crop and tillage management, also have a great influence (Patakioutas and Albanis, 2004; Arora *et al.*, 2010). Several species can be profitably seeded in a buffer strip; multi-species are normally preferable to those composed of a single one, because a combination of plant species generally results in stronger mitigation capacity (Milan *et al.*, 2013).

It is also to be considered that VBS filtration activity can vary with the specific PPPs used, with the sediment amount carried by runoff water, water retention time in the VBS, soil infiltration rate and so on (Balderacchi *et al.*, 2013).

6.4 Conclusions and future prospects

Agricultural water quality has been recognized as a foremost ecological concern in developed countries and as a pertinent subject for plan scrutiny. The economic cost of agricultural water pollution is associated with removing pollutants (i.e. nutrients and pesticides from drinking water) to guarantee water provisions convene drinking standards, ecosystems health and commercial fishing, frivolous and cultural principles related to water resources. Increase in awareness among the cultivators implementing ecological arable farm administration procedures, including integrated pest management, fertilization management and adoption of mitigation measures effective for soil erosion control.

However, water policies addressing agricultural water pollution in the future should: (i) increase the municipal demands to decrease the vigour and ecological expenditures of water contamination from cultivation; (ii) use suitable blend of contrivances focused on concentration on cultivation water contamination concern, to guarantee the accomplishment of lucid farming, ecological and water strategy objectives, including advancement in arable farm organization and allied expertise, particularly biotechnologies and employing the geo-positional system (GPS); (iii) reinforce water plan improvements to present a vigorous dogmatic agenda; (iv) assist stakeholder contribution (i.e. farmers, industry and community groups) in the plan and deliverance of policy reactions for integrated water management, together with the

progress of superlative activities and expertise to diminish water contamination; (v) evaluate the economic and ecological trade-offs (manure dispersal) and co-benefits (riparian buffers) among water contamination and further ecological strategies and (vi) inflate information (data) and knowledge (science) and facilitate public contact to this information to emphasize better policy making.

References

- Adas UK Ltd/Halcrow (2008) Initial evaluation of effectiveness of measures to mitigate diffuse rural pollution, relating to the implementation of the Water Framework Directive and the River Basin Management Planning process in Scotland. Report produced for Scottish Government under project ADA/011/07978 0 7559 1926 0.
- Aita C, Recous S, Cargnin RHO, da Luz LP, Giacomini SJ (2012) Impact on C and N dynamics of simultaneous application of pig slurry and wheat straw, as affected by their initial locations in soil. *Biol Fert Soil* **48**: 633–642.
- Alam A (2014) Soil degradation: A challenge to sustainable agriculture. *Int J Sci Res Agric* **1**: 50–55.
- Alletto L, Benoit P, Justes E, Coquet Y (2012) Tillage and fallow period management effects on the fate of the herbicide isoxaflutole in an irrigated continuous-maize field. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **153**: 40–49.
- Armengot L, Berner A, Blanco-Moreno JM, Mäder P, Sans FX (2015) Long-term feasibility of reduced tillage in organic farming. *Agron Sustain Dev* **35**: 339–346.
- Arora K, Mickelson SK, Helmers MJ, Baker JL (2010) Review of pesticide retention processes occurring in buffer strips receiving agricultural runoff 1. *J Am Water Resour As* **46**: 618–647.
- Bai YH, Chen F, Li HW, Chen H, He J, Wang QJ, Tullberg JN, Gong YS (2008) Traffic and tillage effects on wheat production on the Loess Plateau of China. 2. Soil physical properties. *Aust J Soil Res* **46**: 652–658.
- Balderacchi M, Benoit P, Cambier P, *et al.* (2013) Groundwater pollution and quality monitoring approaches at the European level. *Crit Rev Env Sci Tec* **43**: 323–408.
- Bear DA, Russell JR, Tufekcioglu M, *et al.* (2012). Stocking rate and riparian vegetation effects on physical characteristics of riparian zones of midwestern pastures. *Rangeland Ecol Manag* **65**: 119–128.
- Benites J, Pisante M, Stagnari F (2005) *Integrated Soil and Water Management for Orchard Development – Role and Importance*, 10. Rome: FAO/GFCM, ISBN: 92-5-105347-2.
- Benskin CM, Roberts WM, Wang Y, Haygarth PM (2014) Review of the annual phosphorus loss estimator tool – a new model for estimating phosphorus losses at the field scale. *Soil Use Manage* **30**: 337–341.
- Bilotta GS, Brazier RE (2008) Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic biota. *Water Res* **42**: 2849–2861.

- Bilotta GS, Burnside NG, Cheek L, *et al.* (2012) Developing environment-specific water quality guidelines for suspended particulate matter. *Water Res* **46**: 2324–2332.
- Bilotta GS, Krueger T, Brazier RE, *et al.* (2010) Assessing catchment-scale erosion and yields of suspended solids from improved temperate grassland. *J Environ Monitor* **12**: 731–739.
- Blair N, Faulkner RD, Till AR, Korschens M, Schulz E (2006a) Long-term management impacts on soil C, N and physical fertility-Part II: Bad Lauchstadt static and extreme FYM experiments. *Soil Till Res* **91**: 39–47.
- Blair N, Faulkner RD, Till AR, Poulton PR (2006b) Long-term management impacts on soil C, N and physical fertility-Part I: Broadbalk experiment. *Soil Till Res* **91**: 30–38.
- Boardman J (2013) The hydrological role of ‘sunken lanes’ with respect to sediment mobilization and delivery to water-courses with particular reference to West Sussex, southern England. *J Soil Sediment* **13**: 1636–1644.
- Bochtis DD, Vougioukas SG (2008) Minimising the non-working distance travelled by machines operating in a head-land field pattern. *Biosyst Eng* **101**: 1–12.
- Boizard H, Yoon SW, Leonard J, *et al.* (2013) Using a morphological approach to evaluate the effect of traffic and weather conditions on the structure of a loamy soil in reduced tillage. *Soil Till Res* **127**: 34–44.
- Boulal H, Gomez-Macpherson H, Gomez JA, Mateos L (2011a) Effect of soil management and traffic on soil erosion in irrigated annual crops. *Soil Till Res* **115**: 62–70.
- Boulal H, Mateos L, Gomez-Macpherson H (2011b) Soil management and traffic effects on infiltration of irrigation water applied using sprinklers. *Irrigation Sci* **29**: 403–412.
- Chamen WT, Moxey AP, Towers W, Balana B, Hallett PD (2015) Mitigating arable soil compaction: A review and analysis of available cost and benefit data. *Soil Till Res* **146**: 10–25.
- Chien SH, Prochnow LI, Tu S, Snyder CS (2011) Agronomic and environmental aspects of phosphate fertilizers varying in source and solubility: an update review. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosys* **89**: 229–255.
- Collins AL, Zhang Y, McChesney D, Walling DE, Haleya SM, Smith P (2012) Sediment source tracing in a lowland agricultural catchment in southern England using a modified procedure combining statistical analysis and numerical modelling. *Sci Total Environ* **414**: 301–317.
- Covich AP, Austen MC, Bärlocher F, *et al.* (2004) The role of biodiversity in the functioning of freshwater and marine benthic ecosystems. *Bioscience* **54**: 767–775.
- Culman SW, Snapp SS, Ollenburger M, Basso B, DeHaan LR (2013) Soil and water quality rapidly responds to the perennial grain kernza wheatgrass. *Agron J* **105**: 735–744.
- Deasy C, Quinton JN, Silgram M, Bailey AP, Jackson B, Stevens CJ (2009) Mitigation options for sediment and phosphorus losses from winter-sown arable crops. *J Environ Qual* **38**: 2121–2130.
- Deeks LK, Duzant JH, Owens PN, Wood GA (2012) A decision support framework for effective design and placement of vegetated buffer strips within agricultural field systems. *Adv Agron* **114**: 225.
- Diaz D, Sawyer J, Barker D, Mallarino A (2009) Runoff nitrogen loss with simulated rainfall immediately following poultry manure application for corn production. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* **74**: 221–230.
- Dinnes DL, Karlen DL, Jaynes DB, *et al.* (2002) Nitrogen management strategies to reduce nitrate leaching in tile-drained Midwestern soils. *Agron J* **94**: 153–171.
- Driscoll CT, Driscoll KM, Roy KM (2003) Chemical response of lakes in the Adirondack Region of New York to declines in acidic deposition. *Environ Sci Technol* **37**: 2036–2042.
- Eghball B, Gilley JE, Kramer LA, Moorman TB (2000) Narrow grass hedge effect on phosphorus and nitrogen in runoff following manure and fertilizers application. *J Soil Water Conserv* **55**: 172–176.
- Environment Agency (2007) The total environmental costs and benefits of agriculture in the UK. Environment Agency, Bristol.
- Evans C, Chapman P, Clark J, Monteith D, Cresser M (2006) Alternative explanations for rising dissolved organic carbon export from organic soils. *Global Change Biol* **12**: 2044–2053.
- Evans CD, Monteith DT, Cooper DM (2005) Long-term increases in surface water dissolved organic carbon: observations, possible causes and environmental impacts. *Environ Pollut* **137**: 55–71.
- García-Orenes F, Cerdà A, Mataix-Solera J, *et al.* (2009) Effects of agricultural management on surface soil properties and soil–water losses in eastern Spain. *Soil Till Res* **106**: 117–123.
- García-Torres L, Benites J, Martínez-Vilela A, Holgado-Cabrera A (2003) *Conservation Agriculture: Environment, Farmers Experiences, Innovations, Socio-Economy, Policy*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA.
- Gasso V, Oudshoorn FW, Sørensen CA, Pedersen HH (2014) An environmental life cycle assessment of controlled traffic farming. *J Clean Prod* **73**: 175–182.
- Gasso V, Sørensen CAG, Oudshoorn FW, Green O (2013) Controlled traffic farming: A review of the environmental impacts. *Eur J Agron* **48**: 66–73.
- Gill KA, Cox R, O’Neal ME (2014) Quality over quantity: buffer strips can be improved with select native plant species. *Environ Entomol* **43**: 298–311.
- Govers G (2011) Misapplications and misconceptions of erosion models. Chapter 7. In: *Handbook of Erosion Modelling*. Morgan RPC, Nearing MA (Eds), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, pp. 117–134.
- Greig HS, Kratina P, Thompson PL, Palen WJ, Richardson JS, Shurin JB (2012) Warming, eutrophication, and predator loss amplify subsidies between aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. *Glob Change Biol* **18**: 504–514.
- Greig SM, Sear DA, Carling PA (2005) Fine sediment accumulation in salmon spawning gravels and the survival of incubating salmon progeny: implications for spawning habitat management. *Sci Total Environ* **344**: 241–258.
- Gumiere SJ, Le Bissonnais Y, Raclot D, Cheviron B (2011) Vegetated filter effects on sedimentological connectivity of agricultural catchments in erosion modelling: a review. *Earth Surf Proc Land* **36**: 3–19.

- Hamza MA, Anderson WK (2005) Soil compaction in cropping systems – a review of the nature, causes and possible solutions. *Soil Till Res* **82**: 121–145.
- Hansen NC, Daniel TC, Sharpley AN, Lemunyon JL (2002) The fate and transport of phosphorus in agricultural systems. *J Soil Water Conserv* **57**: 408–417.
- Hao H, Hartmann C, Apichart J, *et al.* (2011) Slumping dynamics in tilled sandy soils under natural rainfall and experimental flooding. *Soil Till Res* **114**: 9–17.
- Harmel R, Smith D, Haney R, Dozier M (2009) Nitrogen and phosphorus runoff from cropland and pasture fields fertilized with poultry litter. *J Soil Water Conserv* **64**: 400–412.
- Hassan HM, Marschner P, McNeill A, Tang C (2012) Growth, P uptake in grain legumes and changes in rhizosphere soil P pools. *Biol Fert Soil* **48**: 151–159.
- Hejzlar J, Dubrovsky M, Buchtele J (2003) The apparent and potential effects of climate change on the inferred concentration of dissolved organic matter in a temperate stream (the Malse River, South Bohemia). *Sci Total Environ* **310**: 143–152.
- Jarosiewicz A, Tomaszewska M (2003) Controlled-release NPK fertilizer encapsulated by polymeric membranes. *J Agr Food Chem* **51**: 413–417.
- Jarvis NJ (2007) A review of non-equilibrium water flow and solute transport in soil macropores: principles, controlling factors and consequences for water quality. *Eur J Soil Sci* **58**: 523–546.
- Jiao W, Chen W, Chang AC, Page AL (2012) Environmental risks of trace elements associated with long-term phosphate fertilizers applications: A review. *Environ Pollut* **168**: 44–53.
- Jones JI, Murphy JF, Collins AL, Sear DA, Naden PS, Armitage PD (2012) The impact of fine sediment on Macro-Invertebrates. *River Res Appl* **28**: 1055–1071.
- Jordan VW, Leake AR, Ogilvy SE (2000) Agronomic and environmental implications of soil management practices in integrated farming systems. *Aspect Appl Biol* **62**: 61–66.
- Jørgensen H, Prapasongsa T, Poulsen HD (2013) Models to quantify excretion of dry matter, nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon in growing pigs fed regional diets. *J Animal Sci Biotechnol* **4**: 1–9.
- Kadlec V, Holubik O, Prochazkova E, Urbanova J, Tippl M (2012) Soil organic carbon dynamics and its influence on the soil erodibility factor. *Soil Water Res* **7**: 97–108.
- Kerr JG, Burford MA, Olley JM, Bunn SE, Udy J (2011) Examining the link between terrestrial and aquatic phosphorus speciation in a subtropical catchment: The role of selective erosion and transport of fine sediments during storm events. *Water Res* **45**: 3331–3340.
- Kindler R, Siemens JAN, Kaiser K, *et al.* (2011) Dissolved carbon leaching from soil is a crucial component of the net ecosystem carbon balance. *Glob Change Biol* **17**: 1167–1185.
- King KW, Williams MR, Macrae ML, *et al.* (2014). Phosphorus transport in agricultural subsurface drainage: A review. *J Environ Qual* **44**: 467–485.
- Kirkby CA, Richardson AE, Wade LJ, Batten GD, Blanchard C, Kirkegaard JA (2013) Carbon-nutrient stoichiometry to increase soil carbon sequestration. *Soil Biol Bioch* **60**: 77–86.
- Kleinman PJ, Sharpley AN, McDowell RW, *et al.* (2011) Managing agricultural phosphorus for water quality protection: principles for progress. *Plant Soil* **349**: 169–182.
- Kronvang B, Laubel AR, Larsen SE, Andersen HE, Djurhuus J (2005) Buffer zones as a sink for sediment and phosphorus between the field and stream. Danish field experiences. *Water Sci Technol* **51**: 55–62.
- Krutz LJ, Senseman SA, Zablotowicz RM, Matocha MA (2005) Reducing herbicide runoff from agricultural fields with vegetative filter strips: A review. *Weed Sci* **53**: 353–367.
- Lacas JG, Voltz M, Gouy V, Carluer N, Gril JJ (2005) Using grassed strips to limit pesticide transfer to surface water: A review. *Agronomie* **25**: 253–266.
- Leistra M, Boesten JJTI (2010) Measurement and computation of movement of bromide ions and carbofuran in ridged humic-sandy soil. *Arc Environ Con Tox* **59**: 39–48.
- Lemke AM, Kirkham KG, Lindenbaum TT, *et al.* (2011) Evaluating agricultural best management practices in tile-drained subwatersheds of the Mackinaw River, Illinois. *J Environ Qual*, **40**: 1215–1228.
- Li Z, Feng X, Bi X, Li G, Lin Y, Sun G (2014) Probing the distribution and contamination levels of 10 trace metal/metalloids in soils near a Pb/Zn smelter in Middle China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **21**: 4149–4162.
- McBride M, Sauve S, Hendershot W (2005) Solubility control of Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in contaminated soils. *Eur J Soil Sci* **48**: 337–346.
- McHugh M, Wood G, Walling DE, *et al.* (2002) Prediction of sediment delivery to watercourses from land – phase II. *Environment Agency R&D Technical Report P2–209/TR*.
- Milan M, Vidotto F, Piano S, Negre M, Ferrero A (2013) Buffer strip effect on terbuthylazine, desethylterbuthylazine and S-metolachlor runoff from maize fields in Northern Italy. *Environ Technol* **34**: 71–80.
- Müller K, Troløve M, James TK, Rahman A (2004) Herbicide loss in runoff: Effects of herbicide properties, slope, and rainfall intensity. *Aus J Soil Res* **42**: 17–27.
- Munkholm LJ, Schjøning P, Deboz K, Jensen HE, Christensen BT (2002) Aggregate strength and mechanical behaviour of a sandy loam soil under long-term fertilization treatments. *Eur J Soil Sci* **53**: 129–137.
- Newell Price JP, Harris D, Taylor M, *et al.* (2011) An inventory of mitigation methods and guide to their effects on diffuse water pollution, greenhouse gas emissions and ammonia emissions from agriculture. Report prepared as part of DEFRA Project WQ0106, ADAS and Rothamsted Research North Wyke.
- Nguyen TT, Ruidisch M, Koellner T, Tenhunen J (2014) Synergies and tradeoffs between nitrate leaching and net farm income: The case of nitrogen best management practices in South Korea. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **186**: 160–169.
- Nicholson F, Smith S, Alloway B, Carlton-Smith C, Chambers B (2003) An inventory of heavy metals inputs to agricultural soils in England and Wales. *Sci Total Environ* **311**: 205–219.

- Nordqvist M, Holtenius K, Spörndly R (2014) Methods for assessing phosphorus overfeeding on organic and conventional dairy farms. *Animal* **8**: 286–292.
- Ogle SM, Swan A, Paustian K (2012) No-till management impacts on crop productivity, carbon input and soil carbon sequestration. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **149**: 37–49.
- Oquist KA, Strock JS, Mulla DJ (2007) Influence of alternative and conventional farming practices on subsurface drainage and water quality. *J Environ Qual* **36**: 1194–1204.
- Owens PN, Duzant J, Deeks LK, Wood GA, Morgan RPC, Collins AJ (2007) Evaluation of contrasting buffer features within an agricultural landscape for reducing sediment and particulate phosphorus delivery to surface waters. *Soil Use Manage* **23**: 165–175.
- Palm C, Blanco-Canqui H, DeClerck F, Gatere L, Grace P (2014) Conservation agriculture and ecosystem services: An overview. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **187**: 87–105.
- Parsons A, Wainwright J, Powell D, Kaduk J, Brazier R (2004) A conceptual model for determining soil erosion by water. *Earth Surf Proc Land* **29**: 1293–1302.
- Patakioutas GI, Albanis TA (2004) Runoff of herbicides from cropped and uncropped plots with different slopes. *Int J Environ An Ch* **84**: 103–121.
- Pisante M, Santilocchi R, Stagnari F (2007) I sistemi di gestione integrata per l'adozione dell'Agricoltura Conservativa – Frumento. In: *La via italiana dell'agricoltura conservativa – Principi, tecnologie e metodi per una produzione sostenibile*, Pisante M (Ed.), Agricoltura Blu., Edagricole, Bologna, ISBN: 9788850652532. pp. 79–90.
- Pisante M, Stagnari F (2007) Aspetti agronomici e ambientali dell'Agricoltura Conservativa. In: (a cura di): *La via italiana dell'agricoltura conservativa – Principi, tecnologie e metodi per una produzione sostenibile*, Pisante M (Ed.), Agricoltura Blu., Edagricole, Bologna, ISBN: 9788850652532, pp. 11–35.
- Pisante M, Stagnari F (2013) L'agricoltura sostenibile. In: (a cura di): *Agricoltura sostenibile. Principi, sistemi e tecnologie applicate all'agricoltura produttiva per la salvaguardia dell'ambiente e la tutela climatica*, Pisante M (Ed.), Edagricole, Milano, Il Sole24ore, ISBN: 9788850654116, pp. 13–31.
- Pisante M, Stagnari F, Grant C (2012) Agricultural innovations for sustainable crop production intensification. *Ital J Agron* **7**: 300–311.
- Pisante M, Stagnari F, Santilocchi R (2013) Agricoltura sostenibile e ambiente. In: (a cura di): *Agricoltura sostenibile. Principi, sistemi e tecnologie applicate all'agricoltura produttiva per la salvaguardia dell'ambiente e la tutela climatica*, Pisante M (Ed.), Edagricole, Milano, Il Sole24ore, ISBN: 9788850654116, pp. 37–54.
- Pisante M. (2013). *Agricoltura Sostenibile Principi, sistemi e tecnologie applicate all'agricoltura produttiva per la salvaguardia dell'ambiente e la tutela climatica*, Milano: IlSole24Ore-Edagricole, ISBN: 9788850654116, p. 340.
- Posthumus H, Deeks LK, Rickson RJ, Quinton JN (2013) Costs and benefits of erosion control measures in the UK. *Soil Use Manage* **31**: 16–33.
- Qi Z, Helmers MJ, Christianson RD, Pederson CH (2011) Nitrate-nitrogen losses through subsurface drainage under various agricultural land covers. *J Environ Qual* **40**: 1578–1585.
- Quinton JN, Catt JA (2007) Enrichment of heavy metals in sediment resulting from soil erosion on agricultural fields. *Environ Sci Technol* **41**: 3495–3500.
- Randall GW, Mulla DJ (2001) Nitrate nitrogen in surface waters as influenced by climatic conditions and agricultural practices. *J Environ Qual* **30**: 337–344.
- Rankins AJ, Shaw DR, Boyette M (2001) Perennial grass filter strips for reducing herbicide losses in runoff. *Weed Sci* **49**: 647–651.
- Raper RL (2005) Agricultural traffic impacts on soil. *J Terramechanics* **42**: 259–280.
- Reaney SM, Lane SN, Heathwaite AL, Dugdale LJ (2011) Risk-based modelling of diffuse land use impacts from rural landscapes upon salmonid fry abundance. *Ecol Model* **222**: 1016–1029.
- Reichenberger S, Bach M, Skitschak A, Frede H (2007) Mitigation strategies to reduce pesticide inputs into ground- and surface water and their effectiveness; a review. *Sci Total Environ* **384**: 1–35.
- Reisinger AJ, Blair JM, Rice CW, Dodds WK (2013) Woody vegetation removal stimulates riparian and benthic denitrification in tallgrass prairie. *Ecosystems* **16**: 547–560.
- Rickson RJ (2014) Can control of soil erosion mitigate water pollution by sediments? *Sci Total Environ* **468–469**: 1187–1197.
- Ruidisch M, Bartsch S, Kettering J, Huwe B, Frei S (2013) The effect of fertilizer best management practices on nitrate leaching in a plastic mulched ridge cultivation system. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **169**: 21–32.
- Santilocchi R, Corsi S, Stagnari F, Pisante M, Castaldi S, Peressotti A (2012). Produzioni vegetali. In: *Sfide ed opportunità dello sviluppo rurale per la mitigazione e l'adattamento ai cambiamenti climatici*, ISBN: 9788896095119, pp. 111–133.
- Sawyer C, McCarty P, Parkin G (2002) Chemistry for environmental engineering and science, McGraw-Hill, New Jersey.
- Shabtai IA, Shenker M, Edeto WL, Warburg A, Ben-Hur M (2014) Effects of land use on structure and hydraulic properties of Vertisols containing a sodic horizon in northern Ethiopia. *Soil Till Res* **136**: 19–27.
- Sharpley AN, Rekolainen S (1997) Phosphorus in agriculture and its environmental implications. In: *Phosphorus Loss from Soil to Water*, Tunney H, Carton OT, Brookes PC, Johnston AE (Eds), CABI Publishing, Cambridge, pp. 1–54.
- Silburn DM, Glanville SF (2002) Management practices for control of runoff losses from cotton furrows under storm rainfall. I. Runoff and sediment on a black Vertisol. *Aust J Soil Res* **40**: 1–20.
- Silgram M, Jackson DR, Bailey A, Quinton J, Stevens C (2010) Hillslope scale surface runoff, sediment and nutrient losses associated with tramline wheelings. *Earth Surf Proc Land* **35**: 699–706.
- Smith DR, King KW, Johnson L, et al. (2014) Surface runoff and tile drainage transport of phosphorus in the midwestern United States. *J Environ Qual*. **44**: 495–502.

- Squire GR, Hawes C, Valentine TA, Young MW (2015) Degradation rate of soil function varies with trajectory of agricultural intensification. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **202**: 160–167.
- Stagnari F (2007) A review of the factors influencing the absorption and efficacy of lipophilic and highly water-soluble post-emergence herbicides. *Eur J Plant Sci Biotechnol* **1**: 22–35.
- Stagnari F, Pisante M (2010) Managing faba bean residues to enhance the fruit quality of the melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) crop. *Sci Hortic-Amsterdam* **126**: 317–323.
- Stagnari F, Pisante M (2012) Slow release and conventional N fertilizers for nutrition of bell pepper. *Plant Soil Environ* **58**: 268–274.
- Stagnari F, Ramazzotti S, Pisante M (2009) Conservation agriculture: A different approach for crop production through sustainable soil and water management: A review. In: *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, Lichtfouse E (Ed.), Springer, New York, ISBN: 9781402096532, pp. 55–83.
- Stoate C, Boatman ND, Borralho RJ, Rio Carvalho CG, De Snoo R, Eden P (2001) Ecological impacts of arable intensification in Europe. *J Environ Manag* **63**: 337–365.
- Stone MC, Chen L, Kyle McKay S, *et al.* (2013) Bending of submerged woody riparian vegetation as a function of hydraulic flow conditions. *River Res Appl* **29**: 195–205.
- Strock JS, Porter PM, Russelle MP (2004) Cover cropping to reduce nitrate loss through subsurface drainage in the northern Corn Belt. *J Environ Qual* **33**: 1010–1016.
- Subbulakshmi S, Harisudan C, Saravanan N, Subbian P (2009) Conservation tillage – an eco-friendly management practices for agriculture. *Res J Agr Bioll Sci* **5**: 1098–1103.
- Thoma DP, Gupta SC, Strock JS, Monerief JF (2005) Tillage and nutrient source effects on water quality and corn grain loss from a flat landscape. *J Environ Qual* **34**: 1102–1111.
- Tullberg J (2010) Tillage, traffic and sustainability a challenge for ISTRO. *Soil Till Res* **111**: 26–32.
- Tullberg JN, Yule DF, McGarry D (2007) Controlled traffic farming from research to adoption in Australia. *Soil Till Res* **97**: 272–281.
- Tyrrel S, Quinton J (2003) Overland flow transport of pathogens from agricultural land receiving faecal wastes. *J Appl Microbiol* **94**: 87–93.
- Udeigwe TK, Eze PN, Teboh JM, Stietiya MH (2011) Application, chemistry, and environmental implications of contaminant-immobilization amendments on agricultural soil and water quality. *Environ Int* **37**: 258–267.
- USDA (2000) *Conservation buffer to reduce pesticides losses*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, p. 21.
- Uusitalo R, Turtola E, Kauppila T, Lilja T (2001) Particulate phosphorus and sediment in surface runoff and drain flow from clayey soils. *J Environ Qual* **30**: 589–595.
- Verburg K, Bond WJ, Hunt JR (2012) Fallow management in dryland agriculture: Explaining soil water accumulation using a pulse paradigm. *Field Crop Res* **130**: 68–79.
- Vinten AJA (2006) Implementation and evaluation of mitigation strategies for pollution control at a farm scale. *SAC report 62603*.
- Vinten AJA, Towers W, King JA, *et al.* (2004) Appraisal of rural BMPs for controlling diffuse pollution and enhancing biodiversity. *Report to SNIFFER, for contract WFD13*, Edinburgh.
- Vryzas Z, Papadakis EN, Papadopoulou-Mourkidou E (2012) Leaching of Br⁻, metolachlor, alachlor, atrazine, deethylatrazine and deisopropylatrazine in clayey vadoze zone: A field scale experiment in north-east Greece. *Water Res* **46**: 1979–1989.
- Waddell JT, Weil RR (2006) Effects of fertilizer placement on solute leaching under ridge tillage and no tillage. *Soil Till Res* **90**: 194–204.
- Walling DE, Collins AL (2008) The catchment sediment budget as a management tool. *Environ Sci Policy* **11**: 136–143.
- Walling DE, Russell MA, Hodgkinson RA, Zhang Y (2002) Establishing sediment budgets for two small lowland agricultural catchments in the UK. *Catena* **47**: 323–353.
- Walling DE, Zhang Y, Parker A (2005) Documenting soil erosion rates on agricultural land in England and Wales. Final report to DEFRA SP0411. University of Exeter.
- Wang QJ, Chen H, Li HW, *et al.* (2009) Controlled traffic farming with no tillage for improved fallow water storage and crop yield on the Chinese Loess Plateau. *Soil Till Res* **104**: 192–197.
- Watts CD, Naden PS, Cooper DM, Gannon B (2003) Application of a regional procedure to assess the risk to fish from high sediment concentrations. *Sci Total Environ* **314–316**: 551–565.
- Wesström I, Joel A, Messing I (2014) Controlled drainage and berrigation – A water management option to reduce non-point source pollution from agricultural land. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **198**: 74–82.
- Wood GA, Duzant JH, Deeks LK, Owens PN, Morgan RPC, Collins AJ (2007) Buffers: the strategic placement and design of buffering features for sediment and phosphorus in the landscape. Research project PE0205, final report to DEFRA.
- Wood GA, McHugh M, Morgan RPC, Willamson A (2006) Estimating sediment generation from hill slopes in England and Wales: development of a management planning tool. In: *Soil Erosion and Sediment Redistribution in River Catchments: Measurements, Monitoring and Management*, Owens PN, Collins AJ (Eds), Wallingford, CABI Publishing, pp. 217–227.
- Zalidis G, Stamatiadis S, Takavakoglou V, Eskridge K, Misopolinos N (2002) Impacts of agricultural practices on soil and water quality in the Mediterranean region and proposed assessment methodology. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **88**: 137–146.
- Zanin G, Otto S, Masin R, Ferrero A, Milan M, Vidotto F (2009) Protezione delle acque superficiali da ruscellamento e deriva: Efficacia delle fasce tampone e di altre misure di mitigazione. In: *Protezione dei corpi idrici superficiali dall'inquinamento da agrofarmaci*, S.I.R.F.I (Società Italiana per la Ricerca sulla Flora Infestante) (Eds), p. 221.